

EXAMINING THE USE OF QUILLBOT IN FACILITATING EFL STUDENTS' ACADEMIC WRITING AT ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM STATE ISLAMIC UNIVERSITY OF MATARAM

¹Fifi Fazila, ²Muhsinin, ³Soni Ariawan

¹²³English Language Education Study Program, Faculty of Education and Teacher Training State Islamic University of Mataram. Indonesia

Corresponding Email: fiffazila@gmail.com

ABSTRACTS	ARTICLE INFO
<p>This ponder points to investigate the recognitions and challenges confronted by EFL understudies in utilizing QuillBot as an scholastic composing instrument. QuillBot, as an counterfeit insights (AI)-based device, gives different highlights such as summarizing, linguistic use checking, and summarizing, which have the potential to move forward students' scholarly composing abilities. A subjective approach with case ponders was utilized in this investigate, with information collection through surveys and semi-structured interviews with 33 understudies of the English Instruction Ponder Program at the State Islamic College of Mataram. The comes about of the ponder demonstrate that most understudies have a positive discernment of QuillBot, especially in terms of ease of get to, change in composing quality, time effectiveness, and expanded certainty in composing. Be that as it may, challenges such as specialized impediments, reliance on the device, and the precision of rewording comes about were too distinguished. This considers gives imperative suggestions for the integration of AI innovation in English dialect learning and empowers the execution of educating methodologies that adjust mechanical help with the improvement of students' free aptitudes.</p>	<p>Article History: <i>Received: July 7th, 2025</i> <i>Revised: July 16th, 2025</i> <i>Published: July 2025</i></p> <p>Keywords: <i>QuillBot,</i> <i>Scholastic Composing,</i> <i>EFL Understudies,</i> <i>Counterfeit Insights,</i> <i>Understudy Recognitions,</i> <i>Challenges in Innovation Utilize.</i></p>

INTRODUCTION

The expanding request for capable scholarly composing aptitudes among EFL understudies requires investigating and actualizing inventive instruments and strategies to encourage their learning handle. Scholastic composing presents special challenges for EFL learners, counting acing complex linguistic structures, growing lexicon, and following to particular explanatory traditions. Subsequently, teachers always look for successful procedures to bridge the hole between students' current composing capacities and the anticipated scholastic benchmarks. One such road of investigation lies in leveraging mechanical headways to upgrade composing instruction. Robotized composing instruments, especially those utilizing counterfeit insights, offer promising conceivable outcomes for supporting EFL understudies in creating their scholarly composing aptitudes. These devices can give real-time criticism, propose enhancements in language structure and fashion, and offer elective stating choices, possibly cultivating more noteworthy learner independence and personalized learning encounters.

QuillBot, an AI-powered rewording and summarizing apparatus, has picked up ubiquity among understudies and teachers for improving composing clarity and familiarity. Its highlights, counting different summarizing modes, language structure checkers, and literary theft locators, offer a comprehensive suite of functionalities that can possibly address the particular needs of EFL learners in scholastic composing. In any case, the viability of QuillBot in encouraging EFL students' scholarly composing remains an range ready for examination. Whereas recounted prove and constrained thinks about propose the potential benefits of utilizing QuillBot, a comprehensive understanding of its affect on EFL students' scholastic composing inside a particular instructive setting is significant. Analyzing the utilize of QuillBot in a specific program, such as the English Dialect Instruction Think about Program at the State Islamic College of Mataram, permits for a more nuanced examination of its viability and potential. This think about points to examine the utilize of QuillBot in encouraging scholarly composing, particularly within the utilize of summarizing, summarizing, and language structure checker tools for EFL understudies within the English Instruction Ponder Program at Universitas Islam Negeri Mataram.

By investigating students' perceptions and encounters with QuillBot, this consider looks for to supplyprofitable experiences into students' understanding and engagement with this composing instrument. The inquire about will investigate how EFL understudies see QuillBot's viability in supporting their scholarly composing handle, analyzing their viewpoints on its utility, ease of utilize, and potential benefits. Additionally, the think about will basically analyze students' impediments and troubles when utilizing QuillBot, pointing to offer a comprehensive understanding of the tool's viable usage in EFL scholastic composing settings. Through this examination, the inquire about serious to contribute to the broader talk on mechanical intercessions in dialect learning and composing instruction. This ponder contributes to the developing body of inquire about on utilizing AI-powered composing apparatuses in EFL instruction by centering on a particular instructive setting. This consider points to supply significant bits of knowledge for joining QuillBot into the educational programs, in this manner improving students' scholarly composing competencies. The discoveries will educate educational hones and curriculum development, empowering teachers to form educated choices around coordination QuillBot and comparative instruments into their instructing procedures. Moreover, the study's discoveries will contribute to the broader dialog on the part of innovation in dialect learning and give profitable experiences for engineers of AI-powered composing instruments.

By understanding EFL learners' particular needs and challenges, designers can refine and upgrade these instruments to superior bolster dialect procurement and scholastic composing improvement. This inquire about is noteworthy since it addresses a convenient and relevant issue in EFL instruction. As innovation proceeds to convert instructive scenes, it is basic to basically assess the viability of new devices and approaches to guarantee they truly improve learning results. The most issue recognized is the trouble EFL understudies have in creating high-quality scholastic composing. Understudies learning English as a remote dialect frequently confront critical challenges in principal perspectives of scholarly composing, such as acing appropriate language structure, utilizing shifted and scholarly lexicon, shaping complex sentence structures, and creating coherence and cohesion in their composing. From a

learning innovation viewpoint, this thinks about too recognized the issue of restricted utilization of counterfeit insights devices within the setting of dialect learning. Relevantly, understudies within the English Dialect Instruction Program at UIN Mataram confront a particular challenge within the frame of a noteworthy crevice between their capability in Indonesian as their local dialect and their capacity to type in scholastically in English. The contrasts in dialect structure, scholastic composing traditions, and scholastic culture between the two dialects make interesting challenges that require suitable and imaginative learning procedures. Subsequently, this ponder points to investigate the potential of QuillBot as a innovative arrangement that can help EFL understudies in overcoming these challenges and successfully making strides their scholarly composing abilities.

At last, by analyzing the utilize of QuillBot in a particular organization setting, this consider gives important bits of knowledge for teachers and policymakers looking for to get it innovative intercessions in EFL scholarly composing instruction. The investigate offers a nuanced investigation of QuillBot's potential and restrictions inside a specific organization setting, contributing to the broader understanding of how computerized composing devices can be successfully coordinates into scholarly composing hones. The discoveries will not as it were shed light on the encounters of EFL understudies at this particular college but too give broader suggestions for dialect instruction innovation, advising future inquire about and educational approaches within the quickly advancing scene of computerized dialect learning devices.

RESEARCH METHODS

This inquire about employments a subjective approach since it points to get it the marvel in profundity, and the discernment of QuillBot, as an AI-based innovation device, is utilized to bolster EFL (English as a Foreign Dialect) understudies within the scholarly composing handle. A subjective approach is idealize for investigating students' encounters, discernments, and intelligent with this innovation in an instructive setting. With this approach, the analyst not as it were investigates graphic information but too looks for to get it how understudies make meaning of their encounters in utilizing QuillBot. The subjective approach has different sorts that are balanced to the center of the inquire about and the destinations to be accomplished. A few sorts of subjective approaches incorporate phenomenology, ethnography, case ponders, grounded hypothesis, story inquire about, verifiable investigate, talk examination, subjective substance investigation, activity inquire about, and hermeneutics. The type of qualitative approach in this investigate chosen could be a case think about. This inquire about centers on one particular case, to be specific the utilize of QuillBot within the English Instruction Ponder Program at the State Islamic College (UIN) Mataram. Case thinks about permit analysts to investigate in profundity the marvel of utilizing QuillBot in a particular setting, counting how the device is executed and the challenges understudies confront. By centering on one particular area and populace, this sort of investigate gives a rich and nitty gritty picture of the marvel beneath ponder. A subjective approach was chosen since this investigate looks for to reply the questions a how and what related to the understudy encounter. In expansion, this approach gives adaptability in investigating information from different sources, such as surveys and interviews. In the

interim, a case consider was chosen since the marvel beneath ponder is particular, to be specific the execution of QuillBot in a specific setting. Case thinks about permit analysts to completely get it the complexity of this wonder, counting the interaction between understudies and the innovation utilized. It moreover permits analysts to produce in-depth bits of knowledge that can be the premise for assist inquire about or future arrangement advancement. Hence, the subjective approach and case think about sort given an ideal inquire about system to investigate the utilize of QuillBot in supporting EFL students' composing abilities. With this approach, the analyst was able to supply wealthy experiences into the benefits, challenges, and adequacy of such innovation in an scholarly setting. Inquire about

RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The comes about of this consider uncover the challenges confronted by EFL understudies in utilizing QuillBot for scholastic composing. These discoveries are in line with a few past ponders investigating the utilize of AI innovation in English dialect learning and scholarly composing.

1. Technical and Get to Confinement This consider found that 54.6% of respondents experienced specialized troubles in utilizing QuillBot, particularly related to web association and gadget compatibility. This finding can be bolstered by investigate conducted by Harkut and Kasat, which states that mechanical impediments are a critical deterrent within the execution of AI composing apparatuses in creating nations. Their investigate shows that unsteady web get to and constrained mechanical gadgets influence the viability of utilizing advanced learning applications. In line with that, O'Neill & Russell in their investigate on the utilize of AI composing colleagues found that understudies frequently encounter specialized obstructions and constrained access to premium highlights. Usually beneath the discoveries of this think about, where respondents complained approximately the presence of paid highlights that constrain the openness of this instrument for understudies with financial confinements.
2. Dependence and Impact on Individual Capacity An curiously finding from this ponder is that there are concerns from understudies around excessive dependence on QuillBot, which can diminish inspiration to think basically. Typically bolstered by investigate by Grimes & Warschauer, which analyzed the affect of innovation on students' composing abilities. They found that intemperate reliance on mechanical helps can prevent the improvement of critical thinking skills and independence in composing. Strobl et al., in their think about on advanced composing devices, also emphasized the predicament between the comfort advertised by innovation and the potential for decreasing students' autonomous considering aptitudes. This ponder proposes the significance of a adjust between the utilize of innovation and the improvement of students' intrinsic capacities.
3. Accuracy and Appropriateness of Summarizing Comes about This study uncovered that students still have to be physically check the comes about of QuillBot rewording since they don't continuously coordinate the initial expectation of the content. This finding is in line with the investigate of Li et al., who assessed the precision of

robotized summarizing frameworks in scholarly settings. They found that although automated rewording frameworks offer assistance in sentence rebuilding, the summarized comes about frequently require alterations to meet scholastic composing guidelines. Wilson & Czik, in their ponder on computerized composing assessment apparatuses, moreover found that EFL understudies got to create basic assessment abilities to evaluate the appropriateness of AI comes about to scholastic settings. They emphasized that advanced proficiency and assessment abilities are imperative aptitudes in utilizing AI instruments for composing.

4. The Affect of Internet Network and Gadget Compatibility on Studentsâ€™ Utilize of QuillBot in Scholastic Composing.

Based on the over talk, this think about affirms and amplifies the understanding of the challenges of utilizing QuillBot within the EFL setting in Indonesia. The challenges recognized incorporate specialized (web association, gadget compatibility, restricted premium get to), educational (over-dependence, diminished inspiration to think fundamentally), and etymological (rewording precision, compliance with scholarly measures) viewpoints. The discoveries contribute to the existing writing by giving a particular point of view from the Indonesian setting, where constrained mechanical foundation and financial get to are extra components that impact the viability of utilizing AI instruments. In expansion, this ponder moreover underlines the significance of creating advanced education and critical assessment aptitudes within the utilize of AI innovation for scholarly composing. The discoveries of this consider give vital commitments to the understanding of the complexity of utilizing AI devices in EFL learning. Chen & Cheng in their consider on robotized composing assessment, expressed that in spite of the fact that AI instruments offer comfort, their usage requires suitable educational procedures to maximize benefits and minimize negative impacts. And the inquire about conducted by Cotos too emphasized the significance of preparing and mentoring for understudies in utilizing robotized composing instruments. The consider appeared that understudies who gotten suitable direction tended to utilize AI instruments more viably and dependably.

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that EFL understudies for the most part have a positive discernment of the utilize of QuillBot AI in scholastic composing. QuillBot was seen as a valuable apparatus in making a difference with rewording, progressing sentence structure, expanding certainty, and diminishing uneasiness whereas composing. Most understudies expressed that QuillBot made a difference them compose more effectively and deliver more organized composing. In any case, challenges stay, particularly in terms of the restrictions of free highlights, reliance on web association, the quality of rewording comes about that are not always accurate, and the hazard of innovation reliance that will ruin the improvement of autonomous composing aptitudes. Scholastically, the utilize of QuillBot has not been able to completely supplant the require for coordinate direction in understanding scholastic dialect fashion and proper writing structure.

REFERENCES

- Agustina, Titik, Hafiza Hajimia, Giofanny Yusran, Izza Halawiyah, Muh Ikhlasul Farizi, and Soni Ariawan. (2025). "EFL Students' Perceptions and Experiences on the Utilization of Ling App in Learning Pronunciation." *Jurnal Pendidikan Progresif* 15, no. 1 (2025): 659–70. <https://doi.org/10.23960/jpp.v15i1.pp659-670>.
- Alharbi, Wael. (2023). "AI in the Foreign Language Classroom: A Pedagogical Overview of Automated Writing Assistance Tools." *Education Research International* 2023, no. 1 (2023): 4253331. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/4253331>.
- AlMarwani, Manal. (2020). "Academic Writing: Challenges and Potential Solutions." *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ) Special Issue on CALL*, no. 6 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/call6.8>.
- Alsaigh, Rasha, and Imelda Coyne. (2021). "Doing a Hermeneutic Phenomenology Research Underpinned by Gadamer's Philosophy: A Framework to Facilitate Data Analysis." *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 20 (2021): 16094069211047820. <https://doi.org/10.1177/16094069211047820>.
- Alsaweed, Waad. (2022). "Saudi Graduate Students' Perceptions toward Automated Writing Feedback for Improving Academic Writing." *International Journal of English Linguistics* 12, no. 6 (2022): 48–57. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v12n6p48>.
- Al-Wasy, Baleigh Qassem. (2020). "The Effectiveness of Integrating Technology in EFL/ESL Writing: A Meta-Analysis." *Interactive Technology and Smart Education* 17, no. 4 (2020): 435–54. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITSE-03-2020-0033>.
- Amanda, Amanda, Elsa Muliani Sukma, Nursyahrina Lubis, and Utami Dewi. (2023). "Quillbot as an AI-Powered English Writing Assistant: An Alternative for Students to Write English." *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Sastra Inggris* 3, no. 2 (2023): 188–99. <https://doi.org/10.55606/jupensi.v3i2.2026>.
- Ariawan, Soni. (2023). "EFL Students' Learning Strategies During Covid-19 Pandemic: A Comparative Study Between Urban and Rural School Settings." *Jo-ELT (Journal of English Language Teaching) Fakultas Pendidikan Bahasa & Seni Prodi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris IKIP* 10, no. 2 (2023): 239–50. <https://doi.org/10.33394/jo-elt.v10i2.7524>.
- Ariawan, Soni, and Rabbania Zaikalina. (2024). "Post Covid-19 Reflection from Indonesian EFL Students on Their Learning Autonomy." *The Art of Teaching English as a Foreign Language (TATEFL)* 5, no. 2 (2024): 162–74. <https://doi.org/10.36663/tatefl.v5i2.879>.
- Ariyanti, Ariyanti. (2021). "Technology-Enhanced Paraphrasing Tool to Improve EFL Students' Writing Achievement and Enjoyment." *Journal of English Language Teaching and Linguistics* 6, no. 3 (2021): 715–26. <https://doi.org/10.21462/jeltl.v6i3.698>.
- Bafarasat, Abbas Ziafati. (2024). "Collecting and Validating Data: A Simple Guide for Researchers." *Authorea Preprints*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.31124/advance.13637864.v1>.
- BaHammam, Ahmed S, Khaled Trabelsi, Seithikurippu R Pandi-Perumal, and Hiatham Jahrami. (2023). "Adapting to the Impact of AI in Scientific Writing: Balancing

- Benefits and Drawbacks While Developing Policies and Regulations.*” *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2306.06699* 6, no. 3 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.06699>.
- Bakri, Haytham. (2023) “*Rhetorical Strategies for Teaching Essay Writing: A Case Study Involving Saudi ESL Students.*” *Arab World English Journals* 14, no. 2 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol14no2.9>.
- Basal, Ahmet, Selahattin Yilmaz, Asli Tanriverdi, and Lutfiye Sari. “Effectiveness of Mobile Applications in Vocabulary Teaching.” *Contemporary Educational Technology* 7, no. 1 (2016): 47–59.
- Busari, Ismail Taiwo. (2019) “*Bibliography, Referencing and Bibliographic Citation: What Makes a Difference in Academic/Scholarly Writing?*” 9 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.7176/IKM/9-9-04>.
- Carobene, Anna, Andrea Padoan, Federico Cabitza, Giuseppe Banfi, and Mario Plebani. (2024) “*Rising Adoption of Artificial Intelligence in Scientific Publishing: Evaluating the Role, Risks, and Ethical Implications in Paper Drafting and Review Process.*” *Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (CCLM)* 62, no. 5 (2024): 835–43. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cclm-2023-1136>.
- Casula, Mattia, Nandhini Rangarajan, and Patricia Shields. (2021) “*The Potential of Working Hypotheses for Deductive Exploratory Research.*” *Quality & Quantity* 55, no. 5 (2021): 1703–25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-020-01072-9>.
- Chan, Cecilia Ka Yuk. (2023) “*Is AI Changing the Rules of Academic Misconduct? An in-Depth Look at Students’ Perceptions of ‘AI-Giarism’.*” *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2306.03358*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.03358>.
- Chapelle, Carol A, and Shannon Sauro. (2017) “*Introduction to the Handbook of Technology and Second Language Teaching and Learning.*” *The Handbook of Technology and Second Language Teaching and Learning*, 2017, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118914069.ch1>.
- Cheong, Choo-Mui, Xinhua Zhu, and Wandong Xu. (2021) “*Source-Based Argumentation as a Form of Sustainable Academic Skill: An Exploratory Study Comparing Secondary School Students’ L1 and L2 Writing.*” *Sustainability* 13, no. 22 (2021): 12869. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132212869>.
- Cotos, Elena. (2011) “*Potential of Automated Writing Evaluation Feedback.*” *Calico Journal* 28, no. 2 (2011): 420–59.
- Crompton, Helen, and Diane Burke. (2023) “*Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: The State of the Field.*” *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education* 20, no. 1 (2023): 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8>.
- Darus, Saadiyah, and Khor Hei Ching. (2010) “*Common Errors in Written English Essays of Form One Chinese Students: A Case Study.*” *European Journal of Social Sciences* 10, no. 2 (2010): 242–53.
- Devi, Leona Patria, M Zaenal Abidin, Yuyun Yulia, Roi Boy Jon, Soni Ariawan, Rangga Alif Faresta, and Siti Hanum Afuwani. (2024) “*Video Strategy as Scaffolding to Foster Students’ Grammar Proficiency and Writing Skills in EFL Learning.*” *Journal of Languages and Language Teaching* 12, no. 2 (2024): 677–92. <https://doi.org/10.33394/jollt.v12i2.10351>.

- Dong, Yifan. (2023) "Revolutionizing Academic English Writing through AI-Powered Pedagogy: Practical Exploration of Teaching Process and Assessment." *Journal of Higher Education Research* 4, no. 2 (2023): 52. <https://doi.org/10.32629/jher.v4i2.1188>.
- Elangovan, N, and E Sundaravel. (2021) "Method of Preparing a Document for Survey Instrument Validation by Experts." *MethodsX* 8 (2021): 101326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2021.101326>.
- Ellram, Lisa M, and Wendy L Tate. (2016) "The Use of Secondary Data in Purchasing and Supply Management (P/SM) Research." *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management* 22, no. 4 (2016): 250–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2016.08.005>.
- Elstad, Eyvind. (2018) "AI in Education: Rationale, Principles, and Instructional Implications." *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2412.12116*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.12116>.
- Fithriani, Rahmah. (2018) "Cultural Influences on Students' Perceptions of Written Feedback in L2 Writing." *Journal of Foreign Language Teaching and Learning* 3, no. 1 (2018): 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.18196/ftl.3124>.
- Franc, Renata. (2018) "Introduction to Triangulating Data." *Understanding Youth Participation Across Europe: From Survey to Ethnography*, 2018, 209–26. https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-59007-7_9.
- Fried, Tristan, Carol Foltz, Mayan Lendner, and Alexander R Vaccaro. (2019) "How to Write an Effective Introduction." *Clinical Spine Surgery* 32, no. 3 (2019): 111–12. <https://doi.org/10.1097/BSD.0000000000000714>.
- Fyfe, Paul. (2023) "How to Cheat on Your Final Paper: Assigning AI for Student Writing." *AI & SOCIETY* 38, no. 4 (2023): 1395–1405. <https://doi.org/10.17613/0h18-5p41>.
- Gadd, Murray, and Judy M Parr. (2016) "It's All about Baxter: Task Orientation in the Effective Teaching of Writing." *Literacy* 50, no. 2 (2016): 93–99. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1111/lit.12072>.
- Gasaymeh, Al-Mothana M, Mohammad A Beirat, and Asma'a A Abu Qbeita. (2024) "University Students' Insights of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) Writing Tools." *Education Sciences* 14, no. 10 (2024): 1062. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14101062>.
- Ghorbani, Negin, and Saman Ebadi. (2020) "Exploring Learners' Grammatical Development in Mobile Assisted Language Learning." *Cogent Education* 7, no. 1 (2020): 1704599. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2019.1704599>.
- Golshan, Niloofar, and Dara Tafazoli. (2014) "Technology-Enhanced Language Learning Tools in Iranian EFL Context: Frequencies, Attitudes and Challenges." *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 136 (2014): 114–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.05.299>.
- Grieve, Rob, Julie Woodley, SE Hunt, and Alison McKay. (2021) "Student Fears of Oral Presentations and Public Speaking in Higher Education: A Qualitative Survey." *Journal of Further and Higher Education* 45, no. 9 (2021): 1281–93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2021.1948509>.

- Grimes, Douglas, and Mark Warschauer. (2010) "Utility in a Fallible Tool: A Multi-Site Case Study of Automated Writing Evaluation." *The Journal of Technology, Learning and Assessment* 8, no. 6 (2010).
- Guan, Zhibin, Tongkai Ji, Xu Qian, Yan Ma, and Xuehai Hong. (2017) "A Survey on Big Data Pre-Processing," 241–47. *IEEE*, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACIT-CSII-BCD.2017.49>.
- Harkut, Dinesh G, and Kashmira Kasat. (2019) "Introductory Chapter: Artificial Intelligence-Challenges and Applications." *Artificial Intelligence-Scope and Limitations*, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.84624>.
- Howard, Rebecca Moore, Tricia Serviss, and Tanya K Rodrigue. (2010) "Writing from Sources, Writing from Sentences." *Writing and Pedagogy* 2, no. 2 (2010): 177–92. <https://doi.org/10.1558/wap.v2i2.177>.
- Jamoom, Osama Albashir. (2021) "EFL Students' Needs for Improving Their Writing Skills." *Scholars International Journal of Linguistics and Literature* 4, no. 4 (2021): 106–11. <https://doi.org/10.36348/sijll.2021.v04i04.004>.
- Jia, Fenglin, Daner Sun, Qing Ma, and Chee-Kit Looi. (2022) "Developing an AI-Based Learning System for L2 Learners' Authentic and Ubiquitous Learning in English Language." *Sustainability* 14, no. 23 (2022): 15527. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142315527>.
- Khalifa, Mohamed, and Mona Albadawy. (2024) "Using Artificial Intelligence in Academic Writing and Research: An Essential Productivity Tool." *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine Update*, 2024, 100145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpbup.2024.100145>.
- Khan, Wasiq, Luke K Topham, Peter Atherton, Raghad Al-Shabandar, Hoshang Kolivand, Iftikhar Khan, and Abir Hussain. (2024) "Auto-Assessment of Assessment: A Conceptual Framework towards Fulfilling the Policy Gaps in Academic Assessment Practices." *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2411.08892*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2411.08892>.
- Kholidi, Muh Azhar, Soni Ariawan, and Nurin Auni Syauqina Azhan. (2024) "Digital Literacy Practices Of English As A Second Language Students: A Case Study At Mara University Of Technology Malaysia." *ASEAN Journal of Teaching & Learning in Higher Education* 16, no. 1 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.17576/ajtlhe.1601.2024.07>.
- Klopfer, Eric, Justin Reich, Hal Abelson, and Cynthia Breazeal. (2024) "Generative AI and K-12 Education: An MIT Perspective," 2024. <https://doi.org/10.21428/e4baedd9.81164b06>.
- Koltovskaia, Svetlana. (2023) "Student Engagement with Automated Written Corrective Feedback (AWCF) Provided by Grammarly: A Multiple Case Study." *Assessing Writing* 44 (2020): 100450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asw.2020.100450>.
- Krosnick, Jon A. (2023) "Questionnaire Design." *The Palgrave Handbook of Survey Research, 2018*, 439–55. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04898-2_55.
- Kuhail, Mohammad Amin, Nazik Alturki, Salwa Alramlawi, and Kholood Alhejori. (2023) "Interacting with Educational Chatbots: (2018). A Systematic Review." *Education*

- and Information Technologies* 28, no. 1 (2023): 973–1018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11177-3>.
- O'Neill, Ruth, and Alex MT Russell. (2019) "Grammarly: Help or Hindrance? Academic Learning Advisors' Perceptions of an Online Grammar Checker." *Journal of Academic Language and Learning* 13, no. 1 (2019): A88–107.
- Pereira, Carlos Alves, Tanay Komarlu, and Wael Mobeirek. (2023) "The Future of AI-Assisted Writing." *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2306.16641*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.16641>.
- Perkins, Mike, Jasper Roe, Darius Postma, James McGaughran, and Don Hickerson. (2023) "Game of Tones: Faculty Detection of GPT-4 Generated Content in University Assessments." *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2305.18081*, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2305.18081>.
- Pigola, Angélica, Isabel Cristina Scafuto, Priscila Rezende da Costa, and Vania Maria Jorge Nassif. "Artificial Intelligence in Academic Research." *International Journal of Innovation* 11, no. 3 (2023): e25408–e25408. <https://doi.org/10.5585/2023.25408>.
- Shi, Shuming, Enbo Zhao, Duyu Tang, Yan Wang, Piji Li, Wei Bi, Haiyun Jiang, Guoping Huang, Leyang Cui, and Xinting Huang. (2022) "Effidit: Your Ai Writing Assistant." *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2208.01815*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2208.01815>.
- Syahnaz, Mufida, and Rahmah Fithriani. (2023) "Utilizing Artificial Intelligence-Based Paraphrasing Tool in EFL Writing Class: A Focus on Indonesian University Students' Perceptions." *Scope: Journal of English Language Teaching* 7, no. 2 (2023): 210–18. <https://doi.org/10.30998/scope.v7i2.14882>.
- Tomaszewski, Lesley Eleanor, Jill Zarestky, and Elsa Gonzalez. (2023) "Planning Qualitative Research: Design and Decision Making for New Researchers." *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 19 (2020): 1609406920967174. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406920967174>.
- Tomlinson, Bill, Andrew W Torrance, and Rebecca W Black. (2023) "Chatgpt and Works Scholarly: Best Practices and Legal Pitfalls in Writing with Ai." *SMU L. Rev. F.* 76 (2023): 108. <https://doi.org/10.25172/slrf.76.1.5>.
- Zulkifli, Faiz, and Rozaimah Zainal Abidin. (2022) "A Revised Bloom's Taxonomy: An Essential Approach to Constructing Assessment Questions for the Probability and Statistics Course." *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences* 12, no. 3 (2022): 588–602. <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v12-i3/13001>.